

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/606,110	YOUN, KARP-SIK	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas A. Morrison	3653	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas A. Morrison.

(2) Mr. David Cutitta (Reg. No. 52,790).

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1/10/2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

14, 35 and 36

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


PATRICK MACKEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Contacted Mr. Cutitta (applicant's representative) to get permission to correct a minor grammatical error in claim 14 and to provide proper antecedent basis in claims 35 and 36, as per the attached examiner's amendment. During the telephone call Mr. Cutitta approved such changes to the claims.